

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,535	08/30/2001	Kie Y. Ahn	1303.026US1	2681
7:	590 06/05/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNERR & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			BLUM, DAVID S	
withheapons, iv	IN 33402			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2813	# 4
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·		09/945,535	AHN ET AL.	N~
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David S Blum	2813	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	p ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. epriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period time to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u> April 2002</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			its is
•	Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 51-56</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
.,ح	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>55 and 56</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	· , 	1.52 and 54 is/are rejected.		
· —	Claim(s) 3,4,11,12,16,17,24,25,32,33 and 53			
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
-	ion Papers	·		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicati	on No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Brown attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		;
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
a	a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been rec	eived.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and	rademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/945,535

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-37 and 57-61 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. Figures 1 and 2A-2C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 13-15, 18-23, 26-31, 34-37, 51-52, and 54 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1-2, 6-10, 13-15, 18-23, 26-31, 34-37, 51-52, and 54 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in Paper No. 1 filed 8/30/01. In that paper, applicant has stated that the novel process of forming a gate oxide layer includes thermal evaporation deposition of a metal layer and more particularly electron beam evaporation of the metal (summary of the invention), and this statement indicates that

Page 3

Art'Unit: 2813

the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the independent claims (1, 9, 14, 22, 30, and 51) do not limit the invention in terms of thermal evaporation deposition method. The claims allow for any vapor deposition method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-2, 14-15, and 53-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Maiti (US006020024A).

Maiti teaches all of the positive steps of claims 1-2, 14-15, and 53-52 in that a zirconium (group IVB) layer is deposited on a transistor body region by an evaporation technique and oxidized to form an oxide layer (column 3 lines 30-52) between first and second source/drain regions (figure 3). A gate (20) is coupled to the metal oxide layer. It is understood that Maiti teaches vapor deposition of a metal oxide or sputtering and oxidation of a metal layer and that the instant application teaches electron beam evaporation as an improvement to sputtering and oxidizing the metal. The claims as

Art'Unit: 2813

written are broad so that they encompass all evaporation methods and sputtering is a physical thermal evaporation deposition technique.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 22-23, and 30-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maiti (US006020024A) in view of admitted prior art.

Maiti teaches all of the positive steps of claims 22-23, and 30-31except for the application of wordlines, sourcelines, bitlines and system busses. Maiti teaches a zirconium (group IVB) layer is deposited on a transistor body region by an evaporation technique and oxidized to form an oxide layer (column 3 lines 30-52) between first and second source/drain regions (figure 3). A gate (20) is coupled to the metal oxide layer. It is understood that Maiti teaches vapor deposition of a metal oxide or sputtering and oxidation of a metal layer and that the instant application teaches electron beam evaporation as an improvement to sputtering and oxidizing the metal. The claims as written are broad so that they encompass all evaporation methods and sputtering is a physical thermal evaporation deposition technique.

Art Unit: 2813

Maiti teaches that the device formed is a metal oxide field effect transistor with a high k metal gate for IC's. The admitted prior art (pages 1-4) teaches that these devices are commonly used in IC's particularly processor chips, mobile telephones, and memory devices. These devices commonly use wordlines, sourcelines, bitlines and system busses.

One skilled in the requisite art at the time of the invention would modify Maiti by completing the device and circuit to form IC's, particularly processor chips, mobile telephones, and memory (arrays) devices (which include wordlines, sourcelines, bitlines and system busses) as taught by the admitted prior art to be conventional practice.

8. Claims 5-6, 13, 18-19, 26-27, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maiti (US006020024A) in view of the admitted prior art as applied to claims 1, 7, 9, 14, 20, 22, 28, 30, 36, and 51 above, and further in view of Yano (US005810923A).

Maiti and the admitted prior art teach all of the positive steps of claims 5-6, 13, 18-19, 26-27, and 34-35 except for the temperature of the substrate, oxidizing in atomic oxygen, and oxidizing temperatures. Maiti is silent as to the substrate temperature and oxidizing temperature. Yano teaches electron beam evaporation of zirconium oxide at substrate temperatures of 300-700 degrees Celsius (column 10 line 5). Although Yano is depositing zirconium oxide, not zirconium as Maiti, Yano suggests reasonable temperatures for the deposition and oxidation of the metal. These ranges are

Art Unit: 2813

considered to involve routine optimization while it has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in re Aller, the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious:

"Normally, it is to be expected that a change in temperature, or in concentration, or in both, would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art. Such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

In re Aller 105 USPQ233, 255 (CCPA 1955). See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman 66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Yano deposits the metal layer with atomic oxygen (electron beam) suggesting that Maiti could anneal in atomic oxygen rather than molecular oxygen.

One skilled in the requisite art at the time of the invention would have modified Maiti by substituting atomic oxygen for molecular oxygen as suggested by Yano and used any ranges or exact figures suitable to the method in the process of deposition regarding temperature using prior knowledge, experimentation, and observation with the apparatus used in order to optimize the process and produce the metal oxide layer structure desired to the parameters desired.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3-4, 11-12, 16-17, 24-25, 32-33, and 53-54 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Application/Control Number: 09/945,535

Art Unit: 2813

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-4, 11-12, 16-17, 24-25, 32-33, and 53-54 limit the instant invention to evaporating the metal by electron beam evaporation for evaporation deposition. This in combination with the other limitations of claims 3-4, 11-12, 16-17, 24-25, 32-33, and 53-54 is not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. Maiti (US006020024A) deposits by sputtering. Yano (US005810923A, US005801105A, and US006387712B1) teaches evaporating the metal and oxygen by electron beam evaporation for evaporation deposition of a metal oxide.

10. Claims 55-56 are allowed.

Claim 55 limits the instant invention to evaporating the metal by electron beam evaporation for evaporation deposition. This in combination with the other limitations of claim 55 is not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. Maiti (US006020024A) deposits by sputtering. Yano (US005810923A, US005801105A, and US006387712B1) teaches evaporating the metal and oxygen by electron beam evaporation for evaporation deposition of a metal oxide.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,535

Art Unit: 2813

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (703)-306-9168 and e-mail address is David.blum@USPTO.gov.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached at (703)-306-2794. Our facsimile number for Before-Final Communications is (703)- 308-7722 and for After-Final Communications is (703)- 872-9319. Our receptionist's number is (703)-308-0956.

David S. Blum

May 29, 2002